| HAEBERLI

Application No.: 09/684,595

Page 5

**PATENT** 

Again, as discussed above, Oberg cannot anticipate claim 17 since it lacks at least two claimed clements: "store a frame image showing the frame in a perspective view" and "map the picture image to the picture portion of the frame image." Withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection on claim 17 is respectfully requested.

The cited section of Oberg merely shows super-imposition of 2D images. It does not show the specifics of independent claims with respect to the perspective views. The dependent claims are allowable since they depend from allowable independent claims. Moreover, they are allowable since Oberg does not show the specifics as recited in the dependent claims.

In sum, since Oberg does not show a number of claimed elements recited in the independent claims, Applicants submit that Oberg cannot anticipate any of the independent claims. The dependent claims are allowable since they depend from allowable independent claims.

## CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that the above discussion is fully responsive to all grounds of rejection set for the in the Office Action. Please charge any required SMALL ENTITY fees to Deposit Account 501861. Applicants respectfully submit that all claims are in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

If for any reason the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would in any way expedite prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. 37,955